

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/981,519	ABBONDANZIO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kenneth Tang	2195

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(1) Kenneth Tang

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert Voigt, Jr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4/24/07

**Time:** 1pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Kuster (US 5,819,112) was provided along with description of the reference.*

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

1-22

**Claims discussed:**

1-22

**Prior art documents discussed:**

US 5,819,112


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*It was agreed to amend the claims to place the claims in allowable format.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MENG-AL T. AN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)